

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/320,950

Applicant(s)

GLOVER, JOHN N.

Examiner

David L. Sorkin

Art Unit

1723

All Participants:

(1) David L. Sorkin

(2) Ben Tobor

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 31 July 2003

Time: 5:15 PM Eastern Standard Time

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

not discussed

Claims discussed:

not discuss

Prior art documents discussed:

not discussed

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

David L. Sorkin
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner explained that while a CPA request was recieved 02 July 2003 the application (itself filed as a CPA on 22 January 2001) is not eligible for a CPA because it was not filed before 29 May 2000. The examiner explained that the request for a CPA cannot be treated as a proper request for an RCE because no "submission" was filed. The examiner explained that the Notice of Improper RCE (paper No. 29) inappropriately had box "2" checked, while box "7" should have been checked to indicate no "submission" was filed..